

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 SRC LABS, LLC, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.,
14 et al.,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-0317JLR

MINUTE ORDER

16 SRC LABS, LLC, et al.,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

20 Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-0321JLR

21 The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable
22 James L. Robart:

1 On September 27, 2018, Plaintiffs SRC Labs, LLC and Saint Regis Mohawk
2 Tribe's counsel, Michael Shore, mailed the court a letter. (See 0317 Dkt. # 107; 0321
3 Dkt. # 115.)¹ The letter explained an oversight in Mr. Shore's *pro hac vice* application in
4 which he failed to disclose a judicial reprimand from the District of Massachusetts. See
5 *Tr. of Bos. Univ. v. Everlight Elecs. Co., Ltd.*, No. 12-cv-11935-PBS (D. Mass. 2016),
6 Dkt. # 1650. Mr. Shore's letter, however, contained its own oversights. Namely, Mr.
7 Shore's letter was sent in regards to case number 2:17-cv-01227-LO-JFA. That is the
8 case number from the Eastern District of Virginia, which transferred this case to this
9 court on February 26, 2018. (See 0317 Dkt. # 64; see also 0317 Dkt. # 65; 0317 Dkt. #
10 66.) In addition, Mr. Shore's letter attached his *pro hac vice* application in the Eastern
11 District of Virginia (0317 Dkt. # 17), rather than his *pro hac vice* application in the
12 Western District of Washington (0317 Dkt. # 86). Both failed to disclose the judicial
13 reprimand. (See *id.*; 0317 Dkt. # 17.)

14 Moreover, Mr. Shore did not mention that he is before this court in another matter.
15 See *SRC Labs, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.*, C18-0321JLR. This case was also transferred
16 from the Eastern District of Virginia. (See 0321 Dkt. # 50; see also 0321 Dkt. # 51; 0321
17 Dkt. # 77.) Mr. Shore's two *pro hac vice* applications in connection with this matter
18 suffer from the same neglect. (See 0321 Dkt. # 14; 0321 Dkt. # 69.)

19 //

20
21 ¹ Because this order pertains to two cases, the court clarifies that citations to "0317 Dkt." relate to the docket in *SRC Labs, LLC v. Amazon Web Services, Inc.*, No. C18-0317JLR (W.D. Wash. 2018), and citations to "0321 Dkt." relate to the docket in *SRC Labs, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.*, No. C18-0321JLR (W.D. Wash. 2018).
22

Lastly, this letter supplements Mr. Shore's *pro hac vice* applications that were filed on the respective cases' electronic dockets. (*See* 0317 Dkt. # 17; 0317 Dkt. # 86; 0321 Dkt. # 14; 0321 Dkt. # 69.) As such, this letter should have been placed on the electronic dockets. The way this letter came to the court—through an *ex parte* communication—is improper. At a minimum, copies of this letter should have been sent to all parties.

This court demands more accuracy and diligence from counsel. The court will consider these oversights should it need to address future transgressions or evaluate the imposition of sanctions.

Filed and entered this 5th day of October, 2018.

WILLIAM M. MCCOOL
Clerk of Court

s/ Ashleigh Drecktrah
Deputy Clerk